

Chapter 5 Divide and Conquer

Slides by Kevin Wayne. Copyright © 2005 Pearson-Addison Wesley. All rights reserved.

Divide-and-Conquer

Divide-and-conquer.

- . Break up problem into several parts.
- . Solve each part recursively.
- . Combine solutions to sub-problems into overall solution.

Most common usage.

- **Break up problem of size n into two equal parts of size** $\frac{1}{2}n$ **.**
- . Solve two parts recursively.
- . Combine two solutions into overall solution in linear time.

Consequence.

- Brute force: n^2 .
- ⁿ Divide-and-conquer: n log n.

5.1 Mergesort

Sorting

Sorting. Given n elements, rearrange in ascending order.

Obvious sorting applications. Non-obvious sorting List files in a directory.
Organize an MP3 library. List names in a phone book.

Display Google PageRank results.

Problems become easier once sorted.

Find the median. Find the closest pair.

. . .

applications.

. . .

Data compression. Computer graphics. Interval scheduling. Minimum spanning tree. Supply chain management. Book recommendations on Amazon.

Mergesort

Mergesort.

- Divide array into two halves.
- . Recursively sort each half.
- . Merge two halves to make sorted whole.

Merging

Merging. Combine two pre-sorted lists into a sorted whole.

How to merge efficiently?

- . Linear number of comparisons.
- . Use temporary array.

A Useful Recurrence Relation

Def. T(n) = number of comparisons to mergesort an input of size n.

Mergesort recurrence.

$$
T(n) \leq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \frac{T(\lceil n/2 \rceil)}{\text{solve left half}} + \frac{T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor)}{\text{solve right half}} + \frac{n}{\text{merging}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

Solution. $T(n) = O(n \log_2 n)$.

Assorted proofs. We describe several ways to prove this recurrence. Initially we assume n is a power of 2 and replace \leq with =.

Proof by Recursion Tree

$$
T(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \frac{2T(n/2)}{\text{sorting both halves merging}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

n log₂n

Proof by Induction

Claim. If T(n) satisfies this recurrence, then $T(n)$ = n log₂ n.

$$
T(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 1\\ \frac{2T(n/2)}{\text{sorting both halves merging}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

Pf. (by induction on n)

- Base case: $n = 1$.
- **.** Inductive hypothesis: $T(n) = n \log_2 n$.
- $\,$ Goal: show that T(2n) = 2n log $_2$ (2n).

$$
T(2n) = 2T(n) + 2n
$$

= 2n log₂ n + 2n
= 2n(log₂(2n)-1) + 2n
= 2n log₂(2n)

Analysis of Mergesort Recurrence

Claim. If T(n) satisfies the following recurrence, then $T(n) \le n |lg n|$.

$$
T(n) \leq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \frac{T(\lceil n/2 \rceil)}{\text{solve left half}} + \frac{T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor)}{\text{solve right half}} + \frac{n}{\text{merging}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

- Pf. (by induction on n)
	- Base case: $n = 1$.
	- Define $n_1 = \lfloor n / 2 \rfloor$, $n_2 = \lceil n / 2 \rceil$.
	- **.** Induction step: assume true for $1, 2, ...$, n-1.

$$
T(n) \leq T(n_1) + T(n_2) + n
$$

\n
$$
\leq n_1 \lceil \lg n_1 \rceil + n_2 \lceil \lg n_2 \rceil + n
$$

\n
$$
\leq n_1 \lceil \lg n_2 \rceil + n_2 \lceil \lg n_2 \rceil + n
$$

\n
$$
= n \lceil \lg n_2 \rceil + n
$$

\n
$$
\leq n(\lceil \lg n \rceil - 1) + n
$$

\n
$$
= n \lceil \lg n \rceil
$$

$$
n_2 = \lceil n/2 \rceil
$$

\n
$$
\leq \lceil 2^{\lceil \lg n \rceil} / 2 \rceil
$$

\n
$$
= 2^{\lceil \lg n \rceil} / 2
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow \lg n_2 \leq \lceil \lg n \rceil - 1
$$

 log_2n

5.3 Counting Inversions

Counting Inversions

Music site tries to match your song preferences with others.

- **.** You rank n songs.
- . Music site consults database to find people with similar tastes.

Similarity metric: number of inversions between two rankings.

- **Ny rank:** 1, 2, ..., n.
- . Your rank: a₁, a₂, ..., a_n. .
- **.** Songs i and j inverted if $i < j$, but $a_i > a_j$. .

Applications

Applications.

- . Voting theory.
- . Measuring the "sortedness" of an array.
- ⁿ Sensitivity analysis of Google 's ranking function.
- . Rank aggregation for meta-searching on the Web.
- . Nonparametric statistics (e.g., Kendall's Tau distance).

Counting Inversions: Divide-and-Conquer

Divide-and-conquer.

Counting Inversions: Divide-and-Conquer

Divide-and-conquer.

Divide: separate list into two pieces.

Counting Inversions: Divide-and-Conquer

Divide-and-conquer.

- . Divide: separate list into two pieces.
- . Conquer: recursively count inversions in each half.
- Combine: count inversions where a_i and a_j are in different halves, and return sum of three quantities.

Combine: ??? 9 blue-green inversions 5-3, 4-3, 8-6, 8-3, 8-7, 10-6, 10-9, 10-3, 10-7

Total = $5 + 8 + 9 = 22$.

Counting Inversions: Combine

Combine: count blue-green inversions

- . Assume each half is sorted.
- Count inversions where a_i and a_j are in different halves.
- . Merge two sorted halves into sorted whole.

to maintain sorted invariant

3 7 10 14 18 19 2 11 16 17 23 25 6 3 2 2 0 0

13 blue-green inversions: $6 + 3 + 2 + 2 + 0 + 0$ Count: O(n)

2	3	7	10	11	14	16	17	18	19	23	25	Merge: $O(n)$
---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	---------------

$$
T(n) \leq T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + O(n) \Rightarrow T(n) = O(n \log n)
$$

Counting Inversions: Implementation

Pre-condition. [Merge-and-Count] A and B are sorted.
Post-condition. [Sort-and-Count] L is sorted.

$SORT - AND - COUNT(L)$

- $1:$ if list L has one element then
- return $(0, L)$. $2:$
- $3:$ end if
- 4: DIVIDE the list into two halves A and B.

5:
$$
(r_A, A) \leftarrow
$$
 SORT-AND-COUNT(A).

6:
$$
(r_B, B) \leftarrow
$$
 SORT-AND-COUNT(B).

- 7: $(r_{AB}, L') \leftarrow MERGE-AND-COUNT(A, B).$
- 8: return $r_A + r_B + r_{AB}$, L'.

Closest pair. Given n points in the plane, find a pair with smallest Euclidean distance between them.

Fundamental geometric primitive.

- . Graphics, computer vision, geographic information systems, molecular modeling, air traffic control.
- . Special case of nearest neighbor, Euclidean MST.

fast closest pair inspired fast algorithms for these problems

Brute force. Check all pairs of points p and q with $\Theta(n^2)$ comparisons.

1-D version. O(n log n) easy if points are on a line.

Assumption. No two points have same x coordinate. to make presentation cleaner

Closest Pair of Points: First Attempt

Divide. Sub-divide region into 4 quadrants.

Closest Pair of Points: First Attempt

Divide. Sub-divide region into 4 quadrants. Obstacle. Impossible to ensure n/4 points in each piece.

Algorithm.

Divide: draw vertical line L so that roughly $\frac{1}{2}n$ points on each side.

Algorithm.

- Divide: draw vertical line L so that roughly $\frac{1}{2}n$ points on each side.
- ⁿ Conquer: find closest pair in each side recursively.

Algorithm.

- Divide: draw vertical line L so that roughly $\frac{1}{2}$ n points on each side.
- . Conquer: find closest pair in each side recursively.
- ⁿ Combine: find closest pair with one point in each side. seems like (n2)
- . Return best of 3 solutions.

Find closest pair with one point in each side, assuming that distance $\langle \delta$.

Find closest pair with one point in each side, assuming that distance $\langle \delta$.

Dbservation: only need to consider points within δ of line L.

Find closest pair with one point in each side, assuming that distance $\langle \delta$.

- Dbservation: only need to consider points within δ of line L.
- **.** Sort points in 2δ -strip by their y coordinate.

Find closest pair with one point in each side, assuming that distance $\langle \delta$.

- Dbservation: only need to consider points within δ of line L.
- Sort points in 2δ -strip by their y coordinate.
- . Only check distances of those within 11 positions in sorted list!

Def. Let s_i be the point in the 28-strip, with the ith smallest y-coordinate.

Claim. If $|i - j| \ge 12$, then the distance between s_i and s_i is at least δ . Pf.

- No two points lie in same $\frac{1}{2}\delta$ -by- $\frac{1}{2}\delta$ box.
- . Two points at least 2 rows apart have distance $\geq 2(\frac{1}{2}\delta)$. \cdot

Fact. Still true if we replace 12 with 7.

Closest Pair Algorithm

$\text{CLOSEST} - \text{PAIR}(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n)$

- 1: Compute separation line L such that half the points are on each side of the line. $O(n \log n)$
- 2: δ_1 ← CLOSEST-PAIR (points in left half).
- 3: δ_2 ← CLOSEST-PAIR (points in right half). 2T(n/2)
- 4: δ ← min{ δ_1 , δ_2 }.
- 5: Delete all points further than δ from line L. $O(n)$
- 6: Sort remaining points by y-coordinate. $O(n \log n)$
- 7: Scan points in y-order and compare distance between each point and next 11 neighbors. If any of these distances is less than δ , update δ . $O(n)$
- 8: return δ .

Closest Pair of Points: Analysis

Running time.

 $T(n) \leq 2T(n/2) + O(n \log n) \implies T(n) = O(n \log^2 n)$

Q. Can we achieve O(n log n)?

- A. Yes. Don 't sort points in strip from scratch each time.
- . Each recursive returns two lists: all points sorted by y coordinate, and all points sorted by x coordinate.
- . Sort by merging two pre-sorted lists.

 $T(n) \leq 2T(n/2) + O(n) \Rightarrow T(n) = O(n \log n)$

5.5 Integer Multiplication

Integer Arithmetic

Add. Given two n-digit integers a and b, compute $a + b$.

 $O(n)$ bit operations.

Multiply. Given two n-digit integers a and b, compute $a \times b$.

- Brute force solution: $\Theta(n^2)$ bit operations.

To multiply two n-digit integers:

- **Nultiply four** $\frac{1}{2}n$ **-digit integers.**
- Divide-and-Conquer Multiplication: Warmup

To multiply two n-digit integers:

 Multiply four $\frac{1}{2}$ n-digit integers.

 Add two $\frac{1}{2}$ n-digit integers, and shift to obtain result.

$$
x = 2^{n/2} \cdot x_1 + x_0
$$

\n
$$
y = 2^{n/2} \cdot y_1 + y_0
$$

\n
$$
xy = (2^{n/2} \cdot x_1 + x_0)(2^{n/2} \cdot y_1 + y_0) = 2^n \cdot x_1 y_1 + 2^{n/2} \cdot (x_1 y_0 + x_0 y_1) + x_0 y_0
$$

$$
T(n) = \underbrace{4T(n/2)}_{\text{recursive calls}} + \underbrace{\Theta(n)}_{\text{add, shift}} \implies T(n) = \Theta(n^2)
$$
\n
$$
\uparrow
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow
$$

Karatsuba Multiplication

To multiply two n-digit integers:

- Add two $\frac{1}{2}n$ digit integers.
- **Nultiply three** $\frac{1}{2}$ n-digit integers.
- Add, subtract, and shift $\frac{1}{2}$ n-digit integers to obtain result.

$$
x = 2^{n/2} \cdot x_1 + x_0
$$

\n
$$
y = 2^{n/2} \cdot y_1 + y_0
$$

\n
$$
xy = 2^n \cdot x_1 y_1 + 2^{n/2} \cdot (x_1 y_0 + x_0 y_1) + x_0 y_0
$$

\n
$$
= 2^n \cdot x_1 y_1 + 2^{n/2} \cdot ((x_1 + x_0)(y_1 + y_0) - x_1 y_1 - x_0 y_0) + x_0 y_0
$$

\nA C C

Theorem. [Karatsuba-Ofman, 1962] Can multiply two n-digit integers in $O(n^{1.585})$ bit operations.

$$
T(n) \leq \underbrace{T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + T(1 + \lceil n/2 \rceil)}_{\text{recursive calls}} + \underbrace{\Theta(n)}_{\text{add, subtract, shift}}
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow T(n) = O(n^{\log_2 3}) = O(n^{1.585})
$$

Karatsuba: Recursion Tree

Matrix Multiplication

Matrix Multiplication

Matrix multiplication. Given two n-by-n matrices A and B , compute $C = AB$.

$$
c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} b_{kj}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\nc_{11} & c_{12} & \cdots & c_{1n} \\
c_{21} & c_{22} & \cdots & c_{2n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
c_{n1} & c_{n2} & \cdots & c_{nn}\n\end{bmatrix}\n=\n\begin{bmatrix}\na_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn}\n\end{bmatrix}\n\times\n\begin{bmatrix}\nb_{11} & b_{12} & \cdots & b_{1n} \\
b_{21} & b_{22} & \cdots & b_{2n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
b_{n1} & b_{n2} & \cdots & b_{nn}\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

Brute force. $\Theta(n^3)$ arithmetic operations.

Fundamental question. Can we improve upon brute force?

Matrix Multiplication: Warmup

Divide-and-conquer.

- Divide: partition A and B into $\frac{1}{2}$ n-by- $\frac{1}{2}$ n blocks.
- Conquer: multiply $8\frac{1}{2}n$ -by- $\frac{1}{2}n$ recursively.
- . Combine: add appropriate products using 4 matrix additions.

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\nC_{11} & C_{12} \\
C_{21} & C_{22}\n\end{bmatrix} =\n\begin{bmatrix}\nA_{11} & A_{12} \\
A_{21} & A_{22}\n\end{bmatrix}\n\times\n\begin{bmatrix}\nB_{11} & B_{12} \\
B_{21} & B_{22}\n\end{bmatrix}\n\times\n\begin{bmatrix}\nC_{11} = (A_{11} \times B_{11}) + (A_{12} \times B_{21}) \\
C_{12} = (A_{11} \times B_{12}) + (A_{12} \times B_{22}) \\
C_{21} = (A_{21} \times B_{11}) + (A_{22} \times B_{21}) \\
C_{22} = (A_{21} \times B_{12}) + (A_{22} \times B_{22})\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
T(n) = \underbrace{8T(n/2)}_{\text{recursive calls}} + \underbrace{\Theta(n^2)}_{\text{add, form submatrices}} \Rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(n^3)
$$

Matrix Multiplication: Key Idea

Key idea. multiply 2-by-2 block matrices with only 7 multiplications.

*C*¹¹ *P*⁵ *P*⁴ *P*² *P*⁶ *C*¹² *P*¹ *P*² *C*²¹ *P*³ *P*⁴ *C*²² *P*⁵ *P*¹ *P*³ *P*⁷ *C*¹¹ *C*¹² *C*²¹ *C*²² *^A*¹¹ *^A*¹² *A*²¹ *A*²² *B*¹¹ *B*¹² *B*²¹ *B*²²

$$
P_1 = A_{11} \times (B_{12} - B_{22})
$$

\n
$$
P_2 = (A_{11} + A_{12}) \times B_{22}
$$

\n
$$
P_3 = (A_{21} + A_{22}) \times B_{11}
$$

\n
$$
P_4 = A_{22} \times (B_{21} - B_{11})
$$

\n
$$
P_5 = (A_{11} + A_{22}) \times (B_{11} + B_{22})
$$

\n
$$
P_6 = (A_{12} - A_{22}) \times (B_{21} + B_{22})
$$

\n
$$
P_7 = (A_{11} - A_{21}) \times (B_{11} + B_{12})
$$

- . 7 multiplications.
- $. 18 = 10 + 8$ additions (or subtractions).

Fast Matrix Multiplication

Fast matrix multiplication. (Strassen, 1969)

- Divide: partition A and B into $\frac{1}{2}$ n-by- $\frac{1}{2}$ n blocks.
- Compute: $14 \frac{1}{2}$ n-by- $\frac{1}{2}$ n matrices via 10 matrix additions.
- Conquer: multiply $7\frac{1}{2}$ n-by- $\frac{1}{2}$ n matrices recursively.
- . Combine: 7 products into 4 terms using 8 matrix additions.

Analysis.

- . Assume n is a power of 2.
- T(n) = # arithmetic operations.

$$
T(n) = \underbrace{7T(n/2)}_{\text{recursive calls}} + \underbrace{\Theta(n^2)}_{\text{add, subtract}} \implies T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_2 7}) = O(n^{2.81})
$$

Fast Matrix Multiplication in Theory

Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 7 scalar multiplications? A. Yes! [Strassen, 1969] $\Theta(n^{\log_2 7}) = O(n^{2.81})$

Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 6 scalar multiplications?

A. Impossible. [Hopcroft and Kerr, 1971] $\Theta(n^{\log_2 6}) = O(n^{2.59})$

Q. Two 3-by-3 matrices with only 21 scalar multiplications? A. Unknown. $\Theta(n^{\log_3 21}) = O(n^{2.77})$

Q. Two 70-by-70 matrices with only 143,640 scalar multiplications? A. Yes! [Pan, 1980] $\Theta(n^{\log_{70} 143640}) = O(n^{2.80})$

Decimal wars.

- ⁿ December, 1979: O(n 2.521813).
- ⁿ January, 1980: O(n 2.521801).

Fast Matrix Multiplication in Theory

Best known. O(n 2.373) [Williams, 2011.]

Conjecture. $O(n^{2+\varepsilon})$ for any $\varepsilon \ge 0$.

Homework

•Read Chapter 5 of the textbook.

•Exercises 1, 2, 3 & 4 in Chapter 5.